

Table 8--Receipts of Producer Milk and Related Statistics, by Federal Milk Order Marketing Area, January 2004, with Comparisons

Federal Milk Order Marketing Area	Order Number	Number of Producers		Receipts of Producer Milk			Average Daily Delivery Per Producer	Component Test of Producer Milk 1/				Somatic Cell Count 1/
		Total	Change from Prev. Year	2004	2003	Change from Prev. Year		Butterfat	Nonfat Solids	Protein	Other Solids	
		Count		Million pounds		Percent	Pounds	Percent				Thousand
Northeast	001	15,760	-616	1,993	2,058	-3.2	4,079	3.76	8.76	3.08	5.68	---
Appalachian	005	3,439	-480	569	578	-1.6	5,339	3.72	---	---	---	---
Southeast	007	4,092	-384	656	664	-1.2	5,169	3.75	---	---	---	---
Florida	006	299	-14	262	256	2.4	28,297	3.67	---	---	---	---
Mideast 2/	033	10,099	-448	1,513	1,463	3.4	4,833	3.79	8.80	3.10	5.71	322
Upper Midwest 2/	030	16,725	1,762	2,209	1,846	19.7	4,261	3.82	8.79	3.08	5.71	285
Central 2/	032	6,269	-2,777	1,275	1,677	-24.0	6,560	3.75	8.81	3.09	5.73	277
Southwest 2/	126	869	109	799	839	-4.8	29,642	3.77	8.81	3.09	5.72	270
Arizona-Las Vegas	131	104	-3	264	272	-3.2	81,732	3.67	---	---	---	---
Western 2/	135	731	-39	476	508	-6.2	21,027	3.73	8.83	3.13	5.70	---
Pacific Northwest	124	890	-30	614	620	-1.0	22,261	3.82	8.80	3.12	5.68	---
All Markets Combined 3/		59,277	-2,920	10,630	10,781	-1.4	5,785	3.77	8.79	3.09	5.70	289

1/ Figures for components other than butterfat are available only for those orders with the component pricing system for paying producers.

Figures for Somatic Cell Count are available only for those orders which adjust producer payments for this item.

2/ Handlers in these marketing areas elected not to pool milk in 2003 due to disadvantageous class and uniform price relationships.

3/ May not add due to rounding. Figures for Component Test and Somatic Cell Count are the weighted average of the individual market figures.

The weighting factors are the applicable pounds in total producer milk receipts.